

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

09/478,582 01/06/2000 TATSUMARO YAMASHITA 9281/3519 1875

757 7590

02/04/2003

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611 EXAMINER

DOLAN, JENNIFER M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2813

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advişory	Action
/\u\!\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

1

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/478,582	YAMASHITA, TATSUMARO
Examiner	Art Unit
Jennifer M. Dolan	2813

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examir	nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🔀 b) 🗀	
fee have fee unde (2) as se	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if ed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
-	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: MONE
	Claim(s) objected to: Mone
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration (LONG)
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🔲 I	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.🛛	Other: See Continuation Sheet Could Williams
	CARL WHITEHEAD, JR

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800** 



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The clamping window geometry is redefined in a manner that would require an additional search. Also, the newly defined geometry still fails to clarify exactly what the clamping window is considered to comprise, and where the "imaginary" arcuate portion between the clamping window and the reading/writing window is defined, such that the longitudinal length of the clamping window is not indefinite.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claim 1 would still be rejected under Imokawa, using the same grounds of rejection. Since the clamping window and reading/writing window comprise one contiguous window body, and the claim language does not specify exactly where the 'imaginary' arcuate portion between the clamping and reading/writing window and defining the extent of the longitudinal length of the clamping window is placed, Imokawa is considered to read upon the claims for the reasons stated in the prior office actions.